REGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 2 5 2005

LAW OFFICES STAAS & HALSEY LLP

<u>Telephone</u> (202) 434-1500

1201 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005 Facsimile (202) 434-1501

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION October 25, 2005

TO:

USPTO

ATTN:

FAX NO.: 571-273-8300

TELEPHONE:

FROM:

Paul Bobowiec (202) 454-1572

RE:

Letter To The Examiner filed by certificate of facsimile transmission

YOUR REFERENCE: 10/715,822

OUR DOCKET:1619.1026

NO. OF PAGES (Including this Cover Sheet) 3

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, and is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited. If there are any problems with this transmission, please contact us immediately.

Thereay certificate: JNDER 37 CFR 1.8(e)
Thereay certificate: the correspondence is being deposited with the unitro States Postal Service as first class thail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 1988

By Fail Colin

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

Docket No.: 1619.1026

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

HEREINED CENTRAL FAX GENTER

Kazuyuki MATSUMOTO et al.

OCT 2 5 2005

Serial No. 10/715,822

Group Art Unit: 2676

Confirmation No. 3651

Filed: November 19, 2003

Examiner: Antonio A. Caschera

IMAGES SIMULATION PROCESSING METHOD, PROCESSING APPARATUS AND

PROGRAM

LETTER TO THE EXAMINER REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF THE FINALITY OF THE PRESENT OFFICE ACTION SINCE THE ACTION IS INCOMPLETE

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: BOX AF

Sir:

The Final Office Action mailed July 26, 2005 (current Action) rejects claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, and 13-18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukoyama (U.S.P. 6,831,659 B1) in view of Palm (U.S.P. 5,742,291). These rejections are the same as in the previous Office Action mailed February 10, 2005 (previous Action). Applicants filed an Amendment on May 10, 2005 traversing the rejections of the previous Action.

In item 4 of the current Action, entitled Response to Arguments, while the Examiner has responded to some of the arguments filed in the Amendment, the Examiner has not replied to all of the arguments.

That is, the Examiner has not replied to the arguments presented on page 11 of the Amendment that none of the cited art, alone or in combination, discuss as recited in each of the independent claims 1, 5, 9, and 13-18, respectively, a method, a display, an apparatus, and a computer-readable storage, using claim 1 as an example, including (1) "establishing a target face . . .;" (2) "determining initial values of a drawing start point for drawing the texture . . . so that the target face is fully mapped with texture;" and (3) "drawing a wireframe which divides the target face mapped with-the texture on the display in accordance with the drawing start pointmanicra 1.8(a)

on onth the content States Postal Service as first class mais in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Bo. 1450. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Serial No. 10/715,822

the number of drawing iterations."

The Examiner has not responded to Applicants' arguments that, according to an aspect of the invention, values of a drawing start point are modified during drawing iterations so as to display the target face with consecutive patterns as desired by the user, while Mukoyama merely teaches a direction of mapping be altered randomly for every display element.

As set forth in MPEP §707.07(f) entitled Answer All Material Traversed: an examiner must provide clear explanations of all actions taken by the examiner during prosecution of an application.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the current Office Action is <u>incomplete</u> since the Examiner has <u>not responded</u>, at all, to many of Applicant's arguments traversing the rejections. Applicants respectfully requests the withdrawal of the finality of the current Office Action and a new Office Action issued addressing all of the Applicant's arguments and having the response date reset.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: October 25,2005

Paul W. Bobowiec

Registration No. 47,431

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

CERTIFICATE UNDES 37 CFB 1.8(a) Thereby certify the this correspondence is being deposition with the United Stores Postal Service as first class main in an envetore addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, BO, Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

2